



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,000	04/07/2006	Norihide Mizoguchi	112780-053	2283

43793 7590 12/05/2007  
EVEREST INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP  
P. O. BOX 708  
NORTHBROOK, IL 60065

EXAMINER
----------

LOPEZ, FRANK D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3745

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/05/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/575,000

Applicant(s)

MIZOGUCHI ET AL.

Examiner

F. Daniel Lopez

Art Unit

3745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 8 and 9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 8 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Response to Amendment***

Applicant's arguments filed September 18, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4-6 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The new grounds of rejection are necessitated by part of claim 2 being added to claim 1; and part of claim 2 being added to claim 4.

Applicant argues that Saotome does not disclose a cutoff of the communication between the accumulator and the actuator. Claim 1 claims "a ride control valve for switching a communicating state and a cutoff state between the accumulator and the actuator" (line 10-11). The examiner indicates that the ride control valve (42) of Saotome includes a communicating state (f) and a cutoff state (e), wherein the cutoff state prevents flow from the actuator to the accumulator. Since applicant has not specified what type of cutoff state the valve has, it is understood that this cutoff state meets this limitation.

Applicant argues that Japan 06-330,535 uses a pressure differential before and after a variable throttle between the accumulator and the actuator to control the throttle opening, which is different from how the present invention works. This may be true, but there is no limitation in the claims as to how the valve is controlled, except that the load pressure of the actuator controls it. Therefore, this limitation is met by the combination of references.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3745

In claim 4 line 4-5 "the communication opening area is controlled by the detected signal from the first pressure sensor and/or the travel state detecting sensor" " is confusing. Claim 1, from which claim 4 depends, claims that the opening area is controlled by the pressure signal. The "or" appears to contradict the limitation of claim 1, in that the opening area can be controlled without using the pressure signal.

Claims 5 and 6 are indefinite, since they depend from claim 4.

### ***Double Patenting***

Applicant is advised that should claim 5 be found allowable, claim 6 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Claim 5 claims that if A (load pressure or speed) increases, B (opening) decreases; whereas claim 6 claims if A, decreases, B increases. They are the different ways of saying the same thing.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Saotome in view of Yamashita and Japan 06-330,535. Saotome discloses a working vehicle comprising a directional control valve (30) controlling pressure oil supplied from a pump (20) to an actuator (50); a ride control valve (42), in a control block (40) controlling communication between an accumulator (53) and a pressure chamber (e.g. 52) of the actuator; wherein the lines connecting the directional control valve to the actuator are shown schematically as going through the control block; but does not specifically state that the ride control valve is arranged on the directional control valve in a laminated manner by internal piping; but does not disclose that a first pressure sensor detecting a load pressure of the actuator or a travel state detecting sensor detecting a travel state generates a signal, which is used to control an opening area of the ride control valve, such that as the load pressure or speed increases, the opening decreases.

Yamashita shows first and second control valves (fb); wherein the second valve is part of a second control block; and wherein lines connected to the first control valve are shown schematically as going through the second control block (fig 3); that the schematic means that the second control valve is arranged on the first control valve in a laminated manner by internal piping (fig 4, column 1 line 36-38).

Since Yamashita teaches how the schematic of Saotome is physically assembled; then the schematic of Saotome means that the ride control valve is arranged on the directional control valve in a laminated manner by internal piping. If not, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to arrange the ride control valve of Saotome on the directional control valve in a laminated manner by internal piping, as taught by Yamashita, as a matter of engineering expediency.

Japan 06-330,535 teaches, for a working vehicle comprising a directional control valve (4) controlling pressure oil supplied from a pump (1) to an actuator (2); a ride control valve (8), controlling communication between an accumulator (7) and a pressure chamber (via 11) of the actuator; that first and second pressure sensors (10a, 10b, respectively) detecting a load pressure of the actuator and an accumulator pressure, respectively, generates signals, which are used to control an opening area (8a) of the ride control valve, such that as the load pressure increases (relative to the pressure of the accumulator), the opening decreases, for the purpose of suppressing the vibration with good response (abstract, last line).

Since Saotome and Japan 06-330,535 are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Japan 06-330,535 would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Saotome. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one having ordinary skill in the art to have first and second pressure sensors detecting a load pressure of the actuator and an accumulator pressure, respectively, of Saotome, which generates signals, which are used to control an opening area of the ride control valve, such that as the load pressure or speed increases, the opening decreases, as taught by Japan 06-330,535, for the purpose of suppressing the vibration with good response.

Art Unit: 3745

**Conclusion**

Claim 9 is allowed.

Claims 3 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Lopez whose telephone number is 571-272-4821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:00 AM -4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Look, can be reached on 571-272-4820. The fax number for this group is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Help Desk, whose telephone number is 1-800-PTO-9199.

/F. Daniel Lopez/

F. Daniel Lopez  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3745  
November 26, 2007